

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

JANE B.M. DOE and MELBA MARTINEZ, Case Number: BC 619299

Plaintiffs,

vs.

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

EL MONTE UNION HIGH SCHOOL
DISTRICT;
ANGELITA GONZALES HERNANDEZ
and
DOES 1 to 10, Inclusive,

Defendants.

EL MONTE UNION HIGH SCHOOL
DISTRICT; ANGELITA GONZALES
HERNANDEZ and DOES 1 to 10, Inclusive,

Cross-Complainant,

vs.

RICHARD PAUL DANIELS and DOES 1
to 50 Inclusive,

Cross-Defendants.

We, the jury in the above-entitled action, find the following special verdict on the following questions submitted to us:

1. Was Angelita Gonzales negligent in her supervision and/or retention of Mr. Daniels?

☒ YES ☐ NO

2. Was Gloria Acosta negligent in her supervision and/or retention of Mr. Daniels?

☒ YES ☐ NO

1 If your answers to both Questions 1 and 2 are "No", then sign and return the verdict
2 form. If your answer to Question 1 is "Yes", then answer Question 3. If your answer to
3 Question 2 is "Yes", then answer Question 4.
4

5 3. Was the negligence of Angelita Gonzales a substantial factor in causing harm to Jane Doe?

6 X YES _____ NO

7 4. Was the negligence of Gloria Acosta a substantial factor in causing harm to Jane Doe?

8 X YES _____ NO
9

10 If your answers to both Questions 3 and 4 are "No", then sign and return the verdict
11 form. If you answered "Yes" to either Questions 3 or 4, please answer Question 5 and 6.
12
13
14

15 5. What are Jane Doe's total damages?

16 a. Past noneconomic loss including physical pain, mental suffering, inconvenience, grief,
17 anxiety, fear, humiliation, emotional distress, nervousness, and embarrassment:

18 \$ 3,500,000

19 b. Future noneconomic loss including physical pain, mental suffering, inconvenience,
20 grief, anxiety, fear, humiliation, emotional distress, nervousness, and embarrassment:

21 \$ 1,500,000

22
23 TOTAL Damages (Add A through B):

24 \$ 5,000,000
25
26
27
28